



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,939	01/14/2004	Jin-Ho Park	21C-0108	3289
23413	7590	08/07/2009	EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			BODDIE, WILLIAM	
20 Church Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
22nd Floor			2629	
Hartford, CT 06103				
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
08/07/2009	ELECTRONIC			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/756,939	PARK, JIN-HO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	WILLIAM L. BODDIE	2629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WILLIAM L. BODDIE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Amy Bizon-Copp. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura and Kawaguchi.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: arguments traversing the inherency and motivation of the combination of Nakamura and Kawaguchi were presented. Examiner further explained positions regarding the combination. Future arguments and amendments will be submitted at a later time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William L Boddie/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629